

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/694,894	10/29/2003	Hideki Morikaku	Q78196	4515
23373 75	90 03/08/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			GUSHI, ROSS N	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2833	
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/694,894	MORIKAKU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ross N. Gushi	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONET	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	,					
1) 🖺 Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/25/65					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
·— ···						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. /, 13, 17 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 11, 12, 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	•	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in —

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a);

Claims 1, 13, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nakajima et al. ("Nakajima").

Per claim 1, Nakajima discloses an electric rotating machine comprising: a rotating element 70, a first bracket 60, a second bracket 535 facing outward, an output terminal board 30 mounted on the first bracket of the electric rotating machine, and output harnesses 122 connected to said output terminal board; wherein said output harnesses are connected to said output terminal board to extend in a direction opposite to the direction the second bracket faces.

Per claim 13, an output line from a stator coil and a terminal from said output terminal board are connected to each other.

Per claim 17, the output harnesses form a three phase configuration.

Art Unit: 2833

Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Karlsberger.

Per claim 1, Karlsberger discloses an electric rotating machine comprising: a rotating element (implicit, not shown), a first bracket (see figure 1), a second bracket facing outward, an output terminal board 54 mounted on the first bracket of the electric rotating machine, and output harnesses 90 connected to said output terminal board; wherein said output harnesses are connected to said output terminal board to extend in a direction opposite to the direction the second bracket faces.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, and 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Regarding claim 11, the prior art does not suggest the machine as claimed, including the combination of all the claimed elements, the combination including that the output terminal board has a portion which extends along a side of said first bracket and another portion which extends along another side of said first bracket. Regarding claim 14, the prior art does not suggest the machine as claimed, including the combination of all the claimed elements, the combination including that the output terminal board is fixed onto said first bracket with a fastener, said fastener extends in the same direction as the output harnesses. Regarding claim 15, the prior art does not suggest the machine as claimed, including the combination including that the output terminal board is connected to said first bracket by a terminal, and said terminal

Art Unit: 2833

is fixed to said first bracket with a fastener which extends parallel to one portion of said terminal and perpendicular to another portion of said terminal. Regarding claim 16, the prior art does not suggest the machine as claimed, including the combination of all the claimed elements, the combination including that harness comprises a shield wire. Regarding claims 18, 19, 20, the prior art does not suggest the machine as claimed, including the combination of all the claimed elements, the combination including the bracket or board positioned as claimed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2833

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ross Gushi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2005. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley, can be reached at 571-272-2800 extension 33. The phone number for the Group's facsimile is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROSS GUSHINER

Page 5